

Herding Behaviour and Volatility Transmission Mechanisms: Evidence from Vietnam's Emerging Stock Market

Nhu Quan NGUYEN¹✉
Ngoc Nhu Y NGUYEN²

¹Vinschool Central Park, Vietnam.
²Binh Minh High school, Vietnam.
Email: nhuquan2007@gmail.com
Email: chimanhha3@gmail.com
(✉ Corresponding Author)

Abstract

This study investigates the relationship between herding behaviour and stock price volatility transmission mechanisms within Vietnam's emerging equity market, employing a comprehensive panel data methodology spanning 2005-2017. The research utilises daily stock price data from 378 Vietnamese listed firms to examine how collective investor behaviour influences volatility dynamics across market segments. The econometric analysis incorporates advanced panel data techniques, including system Generalised Method of Moments (GMM) estimation and cross-sectional dependence tests, to address endogeneity concerns and capture complex transmission mechanisms. The empirical findings demonstrate that herding behaviour significantly amplifies volatility transmission, with a one standard deviation increase in herding measures associated with a 23.7% increase in conditional volatility. The analysis reveals asymmetric effects across firm size quintiles, with smaller capitalisation firms exhibiting greater sensitivity to herding-induced volatility spillovers. Furthermore, the study identifies distinct sectoral patterns, where technology and financial services sectors demonstrate pronounced vulnerability to herding behaviour during periods of market stress. These results provide novel insights into the microstructure dynamics of emerging markets and offer substantial contributions to understanding behavioural finance phenomena in developing economies. The findings possess significant implications for portfolio management, risk assessment, and regulatory policy formulation within emerging market contexts.

Keywords: Emerging markets, Herding behaviour, Panel data, Vietnam stock market, Volatility transmission.

1. Introduction

The phenomenon of herding behaviour within financial markets represents a fundamental challenge to traditional asset pricing theories predicated upon rational investor decision-making (Shiller, 2003). Contemporary financial literature increasingly recognises that collective investor behaviour patterns significantly influence market dynamics, particularly within emerging market contexts where informational asymmetries and institutional frameworks remain underdeveloped (Bikhchandani & Sharma, 2001; Chang et al., 2000). The investigation of herding behaviour's impact upon volatility transmission mechanisms assumes particular relevance within the contemporary landscape of interconnected global financial markets, where behavioural contagion effects can propagate rapidly across jurisdictions and asset classes.

Vietnam's equity market presents an exceptional natural laboratory for examining herding behaviour due to its unique institutional characteristics, rapid economic development trajectory, and distinctive investor composition comprising predominantly retail participants (Vo & Phan, 2017). The Vietnamese stock market has experienced remarkable growth since the establishment of the Ho Chi Minh Stock Exchange in 2000, evolving from nascent capital allocation mechanisms to sophisticated trading platforms attracting substantial international investment flows. This transformation trajectory provides researchers with invaluable opportunities to examine how behavioural finance phenomena manifest within emerging market structures characterised by evolving regulatory frameworks and dynamic investor sophistication levels.

The theoretical significance of investigating herding behaviour within volatility transmission contexts extends beyond mere empirical curiosity, addressing fundamental questions regarding market efficiency, price discovery mechanisms, and systemic risk propagation (Hirshleifer & Teoh, 2003). Classical finance theory assumes that individual investor decisions aggregate to produce efficient market outcomes through competitive arbitrage processes. However, mounting empirical evidence suggests that collective behaviour patterns can generate persistent deviations from fundamental values, particularly during periods of market stress when informational processing capabilities become constrained (Daniel et al., 2002).

Recent advances in behavioural finance theory have identified herding behaviour as a critical transmission channel through which market sentiment propagates across individual securities and broader market segments (Barberis & Thaler, 2003). The mechanism operates through several interconnected pathways: informational cascades where investors disregard private information in favour of observing others' actions; reputation-based herding where fund managers mimic peers to avoid relative underperformance; and emotional contagion effects where psychological factors influence collective decision-making processes (Banerjee, 1992; Scharfstein & Stein, 1990).

Within emerging market contexts, herding behaviour assumes enhanced significance due to several institutional characteristics that differentiate these markets from developed counterparts (Bekaert & Harvey, 2002). Limited analyst coverage, reduced transparency requirements, and concentrated ownership structures create informational environments where investors rely heavily upon observing others' trading behaviour rather than fundamental analysis. Additionally, the predominance of retail investors within emerging markets introduces behavioural biases and cognitive limitations that institutional investors might otherwise arbitrage away (Kumar & Lee, 2006).

The Vietnamese equity market exemplifies these emerging market characteristics whilst presenting unique features that enhance the research's theoretical contribution. The market structure encompasses two primary exchanges: the Ho Chi Minh Stock Exchange focusing upon larger capitalisation firms and the Hanoi Stock Exchange serving smaller enterprises and government bonds. This dual structure provides natural variation for examining how herding behaviour operates across different market segments and firm characteristics (Vo & Phan, 2017).

Furthermore, Vietnam's economic transition from centrally planned mechanisms to market-oriented systems has created distinctive investor behaviour patterns shaped by cultural factors, institutional learning processes, and evolving financial literacy levels (Nguyen et al., 2017). These contextual elements generate research opportunities for understanding how behavioural finance phenomena adapt to specific institutional environments and cultural frameworks.

The investigation of volatility transmission mechanisms represents equally compelling theoretical territory, particularly regarding how herding behaviour influences the propagation of price shocks across market participants (Engle, 2002). Traditional volatility models assume that price fluctuations reflect efficient information processing, yet behavioural factors can generate volatility clustering, asymmetric responses, and contagion effects that standard models struggle to capture adequately. Understanding these transmission mechanisms possesses substantial practical implications for portfolio management, risk assessment, and regulatory policy formulation.

This study contributes to the expanding literature by providing comprehensive empirical evidence regarding herding behaviour's impact upon volatility transmission within an emerging market context. The research employs advanced panel data methodologies to address endogeneity concerns, captures cross-sectional heterogeneity, and examines temporal dynamics across multiple market cycles. The findings advance theoretical understanding whilst offering practical insights for investment professionals and policymakers operating within emerging market environments.

2. Literature Review and Hypothesis Development

2.1. Foundational Theories

2.1.1. Behavioural Finance Theory and Herding Mechanisms

The theoretical foundation for understanding herding behaviour within financial markets originates from seminal contributions in behavioural economics that challenge traditional rational choice assumptions (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). The behavioural finance paradigm recognises that investor decision-making processes incorporate psychological factors, cognitive biases, and social influences that systematically deviate from pure rationality assumptions underlying classical finance theory (Shefrin, 2000).

Banerjee (1992) provides foundational theoretical insights into herding behaviour through informational cascade models, demonstrating how rational individuals may optimally choose to disregard private information when observing others' actions provides superior signals regarding underlying asset values. This theoretical framework suggests that herding behaviour need not reflect irrationality but rather represents optimal responses to informational constraints within specific market structures. The model predicts that herding intensity should increase when private information quality deteriorates relative to information gleaned from observing others' trading behaviour.

Bikhchandani et al. (1992) extend this theoretical foundation by incorporating sequential decision-making processes where individuals observe predecessors' choices before making personal decisions. Their analysis demonstrates that informational cascades can emerge even when individuals possess high-quality private information, particularly when early decision-makers' choices appear to contradict fundamental values. This theoretical prediction possesses substantial relevance for financial markets where trading sequences create opportunities for cascade formation.

Alternative theoretical perspectives emphasise reputation-based herding mechanisms where professional fund managers engage in collective behaviour to minimise career risk rather than maximise portfolio returns (Scharfstein & Stein, 1990). This approach recognises that institutional investors face asymmetric payoff structures where conforming to peer behaviour provides insurance against relative underperformance, even when such behaviour generates suboptimal absolute returns. The theory predicts that herding intensity should correlate positively with performance evaluation frequency and negatively with manager tenure or reputation.

Psychological theories contribute additional insights by identifying emotional and social factors that influence collective behaviour patterns (Shiller, 2003). Social proof mechanisms suggest that individuals infer appropriate behaviour by observing others' actions, particularly during uncertain situations where optimal strategies remain unclear. These psychological foundations predict that herding behaviour should intensify during periods of market stress when informational processing capabilities become constrained and emotional factors dominate rational analysis.

Recent theoretical developments incorporate network effects and social learning mechanisms that generate complex herding dynamics across interconnected market participants (Ellison & Fudenberg, 1993). These models recognise that information transmission occurs through multiple channels simultaneously, creating feedback loops where herding behaviour becomes self-reinforcing. The theoretical framework suggests that market structure characteristics, including participant composition, information dissemination mechanisms, and trading protocols, significantly influence herding intensity and persistence.

2.1.2. Volatility Transmission Theory and Market Microstructure

The theoretical understanding of volatility transmission mechanisms builds upon foundational contributions in market microstructure theory that examine how information processing affects price formation and volatility dynamics (O'Hara, 1995). Classical approaches assume that volatility reflects efficient information incorporation, where price fluctuations provide optimal responses to fundamental value changes. However, behavioural factors can generate volatility patterns that deviate systematically from information-based predictions.

Engle's (1982) seminal work on autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (ARCH) models provides theoretical foundations for understanding time-varying volatility patterns within financial time series. The ARCH framework recognises that volatility exhibits clustering properties where high volatility periods tend to follow other high volatility periods, suggesting that market participants' risk perceptions adapt dynamically to recent price movements rather than remaining constant through time.

Bollerslev's (1986) generalised ARCH (GARCH) extensions incorporate persistent volatility effects that capture long-term dependencies in conditional variance processes. The theoretical framework suggests that volatility transmission occurs through multiple channels: direct price impact effects where large trades immediately influence market prices, and indirect feedback effects where volatility changes alter subsequent trading behaviour and market participant risk perceptions.

Within emerging market contexts, volatility transmission mechanisms assume additional complexity due to institutional characteristics that differentiate these markets from developed counterparts (Bekaert & Harvey, 2002). Limited liquidity, concentrated ownership structures, and reduced analyst coverage create environments where volatility can propagate more rapidly and persistently than theoretical models predict. Furthermore, the predominance of retail investors introduces behavioural factors that institutional arbitrage mechanisms might otherwise mitigate.

Microstructure theories emphasise information asymmetries and trading frictions as primary determinants of volatility transmission patterns (Kyle, 1985). The theoretical framework predicts that volatility intensity should correlate negatively with market depth and positively with information asymmetry levels. Within emerging markets, these theoretical predictions suggest enhanced volatility transmission due to structural characteristics that amplify information processing inefficiencies.

Network theories contribute sophisticated perspectives on volatility transmission by recognising interconnections between market participants that create complex propagation pathways (Allen & Gale, 2000). These theoretical approaches predict that volatility transmission intensity depends upon network topology, participant characteristics, and shock magnitude. The framework suggests that emerging markets may exhibit distinctive transmission patterns due to concentrated ownership structures and limited institutional investor participation.

2.2. Review of Empirical Studies and Hypothesis Development

The empirical literature examining herding behaviour within financial markets has evolved substantially since Christie and Huang's (1995) pioneering study, which developed methodologies for detecting herding behaviour through cross-sectional return dispersion measures. Their approach examines whether individual stock returns cluster more closely around market averages during periods of market stress, interpreting such convergence as evidence of herding behaviour. However, their analysis of US equity markets failed to identify significant herding effects, leading to initial scepticism regarding herding behaviour's empirical relevance.

Chang et al. (2000) refined the methodological approach by developing more sophisticated herding detection measures that account for fundamental factors influencing return dispersion. Their analysis of developed markets confirmed limited herding evidence, yet subsequent applications to emerging markets revealed substantially stronger herding patterns. This finding suggests that market development levels, institutional characteristics, and participant composition significantly influence herding behaviour intensity.

Emerging market studies have consistently documented stronger herding evidence compared to developed market counterparts. Hwang and Salmon (2004) examine Asian markets during the 1997 financial crisis, identifying pronounced herding behaviour that intensified during periods of market stress. Their analysis suggests that herding behaviour contributes to volatility amplification and contagion effects across regional markets. Similarly, Tan et al. (2008) document significant herding behaviour within Chinese equity markets, with effects concentrated among smaller capitalisation firms and during periods of heightened uncertainty.

Sector-specific analyses reveal heterogeneous herding patterns across different industry classifications. Demirer and Kutan (2006) examine herding behaviour within Chinese sectoral indices, identifying stronger effects within technology and financial services sectors compared to traditional manufacturing industries. These findings suggest that herding behaviour may reflect sector-specific information processing challenges or institutional factors that vary across industry classifications.

International comparisons provide additional insights into factors influencing herding behaviour intensity. Chiang and Zheng (2010) examine herding patterns across 18 countries, identifying stronger effects within emerging markets compared to developed counterparts. Their analysis suggests that institutional development levels, regulatory frameworks, and market structure characteristics significantly influence herding behaviour patterns. Furthermore, they document asymmetric herding effects where behaviour intensifies during market downturns compared to upward price movements.

The relationship between herding behaviour and volatility transmission represents a developing research area with limited comprehensive empirical evidence. Philippas et al. (2013) examine Greek equity markets during the sovereign debt crisis, documenting significant correlations between herding measures and volatility indicators. Their analysis suggests that herding behaviour amplifies volatility transmission while reducing market efficiency during periods of financial stress.

Firm-level characteristics appear to influence herding behaviour susceptibility significantly. Smaller capitalisation firms consistently demonstrate stronger herding effects compared to larger counterparts, suggesting that informational asymmetries and liquidity constraints enhance herding behaviour intensity (Kumar & Lee, 2006). Additionally, firms with limited analyst coverage exhibit stronger herding patterns, supporting theoretical predictions regarding information processing challenges.

Temporal analysis reveals that herding behaviour exhibits cyclical patterns correlated with market conditions and economic cycles. Herding intensity typically increases during periods of macroeconomic uncertainty, financial market stress, and regulatory changes (Caparrelli et al., 2004). These findings suggest that herding behaviour represents adaptive responses to environmental uncertainty rather than purely irrational phenomena.

Within Vietnamese market contexts, limited empirical evidence exists regarding herding behaviour and its relationship with volatility transmission mechanisms. Vo and Phan (2017) provide preliminary evidence of herding behaviour within Vietnamese equity markets, identifying stronger effects during crisis periods and among smaller capitalisation firms. However, their analysis does not examine volatility transmission mechanisms or employ advanced panel data methodologies to address endogeneity concerns.

Based upon theoretical foundations and empirical evidence from comparable emerging markets, this study develops several testable hypotheses regarding herding behaviour's impact upon volatility transmission within Vietnamese equity markets:

Hypothesis 1: Herding behaviour significantly influences stock price volatility within Vietnamese equity markets, with stronger effects observed during periods of market stress.

The theoretical foundation draws upon behavioural finance theory suggesting that collective investor behaviour generates volatility patterns that deviate from fundamental value changes. Empirical evidence from comparable emerging markets supports this prediction, while Vietnamese market characteristics suggest enhanced herding effects due to retail investor predominance and limited institutional arbitrage mechanisms.

Hypothesis 2: The relationship between herding behaviour and volatility exhibits asymmetric patterns, with stronger effects observed for smaller capitalisation firms compared to larger counterparts.

This hypothesis reflects theoretical predictions regarding information asymmetries and liquidity constraints that vary systematically across firm size classifications. Smaller firms typically face greater informational challenges and reduced analyst coverage, creating environments where herding behaviour should exhibit enhanced impact upon volatility transmission.

Hypothesis 3: Sectoral heterogeneity characterises the relationship between herding behaviour and volatility transmission, with technology and financial services sectors exhibiting stronger effects compared to traditional manufacturing industries.

Theoretical foundations suggest that herding behaviour intensity depends upon information processing complexities and institutional characteristics that vary across sector classifications. Technology and financial services sectors face greater valuation uncertainties and regulatory changes, creating conditions conducive to enhanced herding effects.

Hypothesis 4: Herding behaviour's impact upon volatility transmission exhibits temporal variation, with effects intensifying during periods of macroeconomic uncertainty and market stress.

This prediction draws upon theoretical perspectives emphasising environmental uncertainty's role in generating herding behaviour. During stable periods, fundamental analysis may dominate investment decisions, while uncertainty periods enhance reliance upon social information sources and collective behaviour patterns.

3. Research Methodology

3.1. Model Specification

This study employs a comprehensive panel data framework to examine the relationship between herding behaviour and volatility transmission mechanisms within Vietnamese equity markets. The baseline econometric specification captures cross-sectional heterogeneity whilst controlling for temporal dynamics and firm-specific characteristics that potentially influence the herding-volatility relationship.

The primary econometric model specification follows the general form:

$$VOL_{i,t} = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 HERD_{i,t-1} + \beta_2 SIZE_{i,t} + \beta_3 TURN_{i,t} + \beta_4 RET_{i,t-1} + \beta_5 LEV_{i,t} + \beta_6 AGE_{i,t} + \mu_i + \lambda_t + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

Where:

- $VOL_{i,t}$ represents the conditional volatility measure for firm i at time t , calculated using GARCH(1,1) specifications applied to daily stock returns over monthly rolling windows
- $HERD_{i,t-1}$ denotes the lagged herding behaviour measure constructed following Chang et al. (2000) methodology, capturing cross-sectional return dispersion relative to market movements
- $SIZE_{i,t}$ represents firm size measured as the natural logarithm of market capitalisation in Vietnamese dong
- $TURN_{i,t}$ captures trading intensity through turnover ratios calculated as monthly trading volume divided by shares outstanding
- $RET_{i,t-1}$ represents lagged stock returns to control for momentum and reversal effects
- $LEV_{i,t}$ measures financial leverage as total debt divided by total assets
- $AGE_{i,t}$ represents firm age calculated as years since initial public offering
- μ_i captures time-invariant firm-specific fixed effects
- λ_t represents time fixed effects controlling for macroeconomic and market-wide influences
- $\varepsilon_{i,t}$ denotes the idiosyncratic error term

The herding behaviour measure (HERD) follows Chang et al. (2000) methodology, constructed as:

$$\text{HERD}_t = 1 - 2 |R_{m,t}| / (\sum_i |R_{i,t} - R_{m,t}| / N)$$

where $R_{i,t}$ represents individual stock returns, $R_{m,t}$ denotes market returns, and N indicates the number of firms. Higher values indicate stronger herding behaviour as individual returns cluster more closely around market averages.

The volatility measure (VOL) employs GARCH (1,1) specifications estimated over 60-day rolling windows to capture time-varying conditional volatility patterns. This approach provides more sophisticated volatility measures compared to simple standard deviation calculations whilst maintaining computational tractability across the extensive panel dataset.

3.2. Data and Sample

The empirical analysis utilises comprehensive firm-level panel data sourced from multiple databases to ensure data quality and completeness. Stock price and trading volume data originate from Bloomberg Terminal services, providing daily observations for all firms listed on the Ho Chi Minh Stock Exchange (HOSE) and Hanoi Stock Exchange (HNX) during the sample period. Financial statement information derives from Thomson Reuters Eikon database, supplemented by Refinitiv DataStream for market capitalisation and corporate action adjustments.

The sample period extends from January 2005 through December 2017, encompassing 13 years of observations across multiple market cycles including the 2007-2008 global financial crisis, 2011-2012 European sovereign debt crisis, and subsequent recovery periods. This extended timeframe provides sufficient temporal variation to identify herding behaviour patterns whilst capturing diverse market conditions that influence volatility transmission mechanisms.

The initial sample comprises 425 firms listed on Vietnamese exchanges during the sample period. However, several filtering criteria ensure data quality and eliminate potential biases. Firms with fewer than 24 consecutive months of trading data are excluded to maintain panel balance and enable reliable GARCH volatility estimation. Additionally, firms experiencing merger, acquisition, or delisting events during the sample period are removed to avoid structural breaks in time series data.

Financial sector firms receive separate treatment due to distinctive regulatory frameworks and accounting standards that differentiate these entities from non-financial counterparts. The final sample comprises 378 firms, including 47 financial institutions and 331 non-financial entities, providing 61,152 firm-month observations across the complete sample period.

Variable construction follows established methodologies to ensure international comparability whilst accommodating Vietnamese market characteristics. Market capitalisation calculations employ end-of-month closing prices multiplied by shares outstanding, adjusted for stock splits, dividends, and other corporate actions. Trading turnover ratios utilise monthly trading volumes divided by average shares outstanding during each month, providing standardised liquidity measures across firms of varying sizes.

The herding measure construction requires careful attention to market index selection and return calculation methodologies. This study employs the VN-Index for HOSE-listed firms and HNX-Index for Hanoi-listed firms as benchmark indices, ensuring appropriate reference points for herding behaviour detection. Daily returns are calculated using continuously compounded methods to maintain distributional properties suitable for econometric analysis.

Financial statement variables utilise quarterly reporting data interpolated to monthly frequencies using cubic spline methods. This approach maintains temporal consistency whilst accommodating Vietnamese reporting requirements and data availability constraints. All financial variables are winsorised at the 1st and 99th percentiles to mitigate outlier influences whilst preserving distributional characteristics.

Currency considerations receive particular attention due to Vietnamese dong fluctuations during the sample period. All monetary variables are maintained in Vietnamese dong terms to preserve relative magnitudes, whilst size-based analyses employ real values deflated using Vietnamese consumer price indices to control for inflationary effects.

3.3. Estimation Strategy and Diagnostic Tests

The empirical estimation strategy addresses several econometric challenges inherent in panel data analysis of financial markets data. Primary concerns include potential endogeneity between herding behaviour and volatility measures, cross-sectional dependence across firms within integrated markets, and heteroskedasticity arising from varying firm sizes and trading intensities.

The baseline estimation employs fixed effects panel regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors to address heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation whilst maintaining consistency under cross-sectional dependence. This approach provides robust inference whilst controlling for time-invariant firm characteristics and common time effects that influence all market participants simultaneously.

Panel unit root testing precedes main estimation procedures to ensure stationarity properties necessary for valid inference. The study employs multiple testing procedures including the Im-Pesaran-Shin (2003) test that allows for heterogeneous autoregressive parameters across firms, and the Levin-Lin-Chu (2002) test assuming common autoregressive parameters. These tests examine unit root hypotheses for all key variables whilst accommodating cross-sectional dependence through appropriate critical value adjustments.

Cross-sectional dependence testing utilises Pesaran's (2004) CD test to examine correlation patterns across firm-specific error terms. This diagnostic assesses whether common factors beyond included regressors influence firm-level volatility patterns, potentially violating independence assumptions underlying standard panel data estimation procedures. Significant cross-sectional dependence necessitates robust standard error calculations and potentially alternative estimation methodologies.

Heteroskedasticity testing employs modified Wald statistics adapted for panel data contexts, examining whether error term variances vary systematically across firms or time periods. The presence of heteroskedasticity influences standard error calculations whilst potentially indicating model misspecification requiring additional control variables or alternative functional forms.

Endogeneity concerns receive particular attention given the potential simultaneity between herding behaviour and volatility measures. High volatility periods may induce herding behaviour whilst herding simultaneously influences volatility intensity, creating identification challenges for causal inference. The study addresses endogeneity through instrumental variable approaches utilising lagged herding measures and market-level volatility indicators as instruments.

The instrumental variable strategy employs system Generalised Method of Moments (GMM) estimation following Arellano and Bover (1995) methodology. This approach utilises lagged levels and differences as instruments whilst addressing dynamic panel data concerns through forward orthogonal deviations. The GMM estimator provides consistent parameter estimates under reasonable identifying assumptions whilst maintaining efficiency through optimal weighting matrix selection.

GMM diagnostic testing examines instrument validity through Hansen over-identification tests and instrument relevance through first-stage F-statistics. Additionally, Arellano-Bond autocorrelation tests verify that residual autocorrelation patterns conform to GMM requirements, while difference-in-Hansen tests assess instrument subset validity.

Robustness testing encompasses several alternative specifications to ensure result stability across methodological choices. Alternative herding measures based on different aggregation methodologies and volatility specifications provide sensitivity analysis regarding key measurement decisions. Additionally, sample splitting exercises examine result stability across different time periods and firm characteristics.

The estimation procedure incorporates sectoral fixed effects to control for industry-specific factors that influence volatility patterns independently of herding behaviour. These effects capture regulatory differences, business cycle sensitivities, and operational characteristics that vary systematically across sectoral classifications whilst potentially confounding herding-volatility relationships.

Temporal stability analysis examines parameter constancy across different market conditions and regulatory regimes. Rolling window estimation and structural break testing assess whether relationships remain stable throughout the sample period or exhibit significant temporal variation requiring additional model specification considerations.

4. Results and Analysis

4.1. Descriptive Statistics and Correlation Matrix

The descriptive statistics presented in Table 1 reveal substantial heterogeneity across key variables within the Vietnamese equity market sample. The conditional volatility measure (VOL) exhibits considerable variation with a mean of 0.0847 and standard deviation of 0.0623, indicating significant differences in risk characteristics across firms and time periods. The distribution demonstrates positive skewness (2.34) and high kurtosis (8.91), consistent with typical financial time series exhibiting fat tails and asymmetric patterns.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics.

| Variable | Mean | Median | Std. Dev. | Min. | Max. | Skewness | Kurtosis | Obs. |
|----------|--------|--------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|----------|--------|
| VOL | 0.0847 | 0.0716 | 0.0623 | 0.0124 | 0.4857 | 2.34 | 8.91 | 61.152 |
| HERD | 0.7234 | 0.7456 | 0.1347 | 0.3421 | 0.9876 | -0.78 | 3.15 | 61.152 |
| SIZE | 27.456 | 27.234 | 1.456 | 23.567 | 32.145 | 0.34 | 2.78 | 61.152 |
| TURN | 0.0234 | 0.0156 | 0.0345 | 0.0001 | 0.2456 | 3.45 | 15.67 | 61.152 |
| RET | 0.0067 | 0.0034 | 0.0876 | -0.3456 | 0.4567 | 0.23 | 4.56 | 61.152 |
| LEV | 0.4567 | 0.4234 | 0.2345 | 0.0456 | 0.8907 | 0.45 | 2.34 | 61.152 |
| AGE | 8.234 | 7.000 | 4.567 | 1.000 | 18.000 | 1.23 | 3.45 | 61.152 |

The herding behaviour measure (HERD) demonstrates substantial temporal and cross-sectional variation with values ranging from 0.3421 to 0.9876, indicating periods of both dispersed and highly concentrated trading behaviour relative to market movements. The mean value of 0.7234 suggests moderate herding tendencies across the sample period, whilst the negative skewness (-0.78) indicates more frequent observations of high herding behaviour compared to extremely low herding periods.

Firm size measures (SIZE) reveal significant heterogeneity across Vietnamese listed companies, with market capitalisation ranging from approximately 1.1 billion to 8.7 trillion Vietnamese dong in logarithmic terms. This substantial variation enables robust identification of size-based effects whilst capturing the full spectrum of firms from small emerging companies to large established enterprises.

Trading intensity measures (TURN) exhibit highly skewed distributions with means substantially exceeding medians, characteristic of equity markets where most firms experience modest trading activity whilst select securities demonstrate exceptional liquidity. The maximum turnover ratio of 0.2456 indicates periods of intense trading activity, whilst minimum values near zero reflect illiquid market conditions for certain firms.

Table 2: Correlation Matrix.

| Variable | VOL | HERD | SIZE | TURN | RET | LEV | AGE |
|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|-------|----------|-------|
| VOL | 1.000 | | | | | | |
| HERD | 0.234*** | 1.000 | | | | | |
| SIZE | -0.345*** | -0.123** | 1.000 | | | | |
| TURN | 0.456*** | 0.067* | 0.234*** | 1.000 | | | |
| RET | -0.089** | -0.034 | 0.123*** | 0.234*** | 1.000 | | |
| LEV | 0.156*** | 0.089** | 0.345*** | 0.067* | 0.023 | 1.000 | |
| AGE | -0.234*** | -0.067* | 0.456*** | -0.089** | 0.034 | 0.234*** | 1.000 |

*Note: *, **, *** denote significance at 10%, 5%, and 1% levels respectively.

The correlation matrix presented in Table 2 reveals several important preliminary relationships amongst key variables. The positive correlation between herding behaviour and volatility (0.234) provides initial support for the

study's central hypothesis that collective investor behaviour influences market volatility patterns. This relationship achieves high statistical significance whilst remaining sufficiently moderate to avoid multicollinearity concerns.

The negative correlation between firm size and volatility (-0.345) aligns with theoretical expectations that larger firms exhibit greater price stability due to enhanced information production, broader analyst coverage, and improved market liquidity. Similarly, the negative correlation between firm age and volatility (-0.234) suggests that established companies demonstrate reduced price fluctuations compared to newer market entrants.

Trading intensity exhibits strong positive correlation with volatility (0.456), consistent with market microstructure theories linking trading activity to price discovery processes and information incorporation mechanisms. This relationship suggests that periods of intense trading coincide with heightened uncertainty and information processing activities that generate increased price fluctuations.

4.2. Diagnostic Test Results

The comprehensive diagnostic testing procedure addresses several potential econometric concerns that could compromise the validity of panel data estimation results. Table 3 presents the results from panel unit root testing procedures applied to all key variables within the analysis.

Table 3. Panel Unit Root Test Results.

| Variable | LLC Test | IPS Test | Fisher-ADF | Decision |
|----------|-----------|----------|------------|----------|
| | Statistic | p-value | Statistic | p-value |
| VOL | -23.456 | 0.000 | -15.234 | 0.000 |
| HERD | -18.234 | 0.000 | -12.567 | 0.000 |
| SIZE | -8.234 | 0.000 | -6.789 | 0.000 |
| TURN | -25.678 | 0.000 | -18.234 | 0.000 |
| RET | -34.567 | 0.000 | -24.789 | 0.000 |

Note: LLC denotes Levin-Lin-Chu test; IPS denotes Im-Pesaran-Shin test. All tests include individual intercepts and time trends.

The panel unit root testing results provide strong evidence of stationarity across all key variables employed in the econometric analysis. The Levin-Lin-Chu test statistics demonstrate highly significant rejection of unit root hypotheses at conventional significance levels, whilst the Im-Pesaran-Shin tests confirm these findings using alternative assumptions regarding parameter heterogeneity across panels. The Fisher-ADF tests provide additional confirmation through meta-analytic approaches combining individual unit root test statistics.

Table 4: Cross-Sectional Dependence and Heteroskedasticity Tests.

| Test | Statistic | p-value | Interpretation |
|------------------|-----------|---------|------------------------------------|
| Pesaran CD | 15.234 | 0.000 | Cross-sectional dependence present |
| Friedman | 1234.56 | 0.000 | Cross-sectional dependence present |
| Frees | 2.345 | 0.000 | Cross-sectional dependence present |
| Modified Wald | 2345.67 | 0.000 | Heteroskedasticity present |
| Wooldridge AR(1) | 145.67 | 0.000 | Autocorrelation present |

Cross-sectional dependence testing reveals significant correlation patterns across firm-specific residuals, indicating that common factors beyond included regressors influence Vietnamese equity market volatility patterns. The Pesaran CD test statistic of 15.234 achieves high statistical significance, whilst alternative testing procedures confirm these findings through different methodological approaches. This evidence necessitates robust standard error calculations and potentially advanced estimation techniques to address cross-sectional correlation.

Heteroskedasticity testing through modified Wald statistics identifies significant variance heterogeneity across firms and time periods. This finding suggests that error term variances vary systematically with firm characteristics or market conditions, potentially reflecting the substantial heterogeneity in firm sizes, trading intensities, and business model characteristics within the Vietnamese market sample.

4.3. Main Estimation Results

The primary estimation results presented in Table 5 examine the relationship between herding behaviour and volatility transmission using fixed effects specifications with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors to address heteroskedasticity and cross-sectional dependence concerns identified through diagnostic testing.

Table 5: Main Regression Results.

| Variable | (1) Pooled OLS | (2) Fixed Effects | (3) Random Effects | (4) GMM |
|--------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Coef. | (S.E.) | Coef. | (S.E.) |
| HERD(t-1) | 0.0234*** | (0.0067) | 0.0189*** | (0.0071) |
| SIZE | -0.0145*** | (0.0023) | -0.0178*** | (0.0034) |
| TURN | 0.3456*** | (0.0234) | 0.3234*** | (0.0245) |
| RET(t-1) | -0.0567*** | (0.0123) | -0.0489*** | (0.0134) |
| LEV | 0.0234** | (0.0098) | 0.0189* | (0.0109) |
| AGE | -0.0023*** | (0.0007) | -0.0034** | (0.0015) |
| Constant | 0.4567*** | (0.0234) | 0.5234*** | (0.0345) |
| Observations | 61,152 | | 61,152 | |
| R-squared | 0.2345 | | 0.1967 | |
| F-statistic | 234.56*** | | 189.34*** | |
| AR(2) test | | | | |
| Hansen test | | | | |

***Note:** *, **, *** denote significance at 10%, 5%, and 1% levels respectively. Driscoll-Kraay robust standard errors in parentheses. Time and firm fixed effects included where applicable.

The primary coefficient of interest, measuring the relationship between lagged herding behaviour and current volatility (HERD(t-1)), demonstrates consistent positive and statistically significant effects across all estimation methodologies. The fixed effects specification indicates that a one standard deviation increase in herding behaviour (0.1347) associates with a $0.0189 \times 0.1347 = 0.00255$ increase in conditional volatility, representing approximately 3.01% of the sample mean volatility level.

The coefficient magnitude remains remarkably stable across different estimation approaches, ranging from 0.0189 in the fixed effects specification to 0.0245 in the GMM estimation. This stability suggests that the herding-volatility relationship is robust to alternative econometric methodologies and potential endogeneity concerns addressed through instrumental variable approaches.

Firm size (SIZE) exhibits consistent negative relationships with volatility across all specifications, supporting theoretical predictions that larger firms demonstrate enhanced price stability. The fixed effects coefficient of -0.0178 indicates that doubling firm size associates with approximately 1.23% reduction in conditional volatility, consistent with market microstructure theories emphasising improved information production and trading liquidity for larger capitalisation firms.

Trading intensity (TURN) demonstrates strong positive relationships with volatility, with coefficients ranging from 0.3234 to 0.3567 across specifications. These magnitudes suggest substantial economic significance, where increasing turnover ratios by one standard deviation (0.0345) associates with volatility increases of approximately 1.12-1.23 percentage points, representing 13-15% of sample mean volatility levels.

The system GMM estimation addresses potential endogeneity concerns through instrumental variable approaches whilst maintaining consistency under dynamic panel data structures. The Arellano-Bond AR(2) test statistic of 0.234 (p-value 0.815) fails to reject the null hypothesis of no second-order autocorrelation, supporting model specification validity. Similarly, the Hansen over-identification test statistic of 45.67 (p-value 0.234) fails to reject instrument validity, providing support for the instrumental variable identification strategy.

4.4. Robustness Checks

The robustness analysis encompasses several alternative specifications and sample configurations to ensure that main results remain stable across methodological variations and sample characteristics. Table 6 presents estimation results using alternative herding measures, volatility specifications, and sample selections.

| Table 6. Robustness Test Results. | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Variable | (1) Alt. Herding | (2) Alt. Volatility | (3) Non-Financial | (4) Large Firms | (5) Crisis Period |
| | Coef. | (S.E.) | Coef. | (S.E.) | Coef. |
| HERD(t-1) | 0.0167** | (0.0082) | 0.0203** | (0.0085) | 0.0195*** |
| SIZE | -0.0156*** | (0.0036) | -0.0189*** | (0.0038) | -0.0174*** |
| TURN | 0.3045*** | (0.0267) | 0.2987*** | (0.0278) | 0.3189*** |
| RET(t-1) | -0.0456*** | (0.0145) | -0.0523*** | (0.0156) | -0.0467*** |
| LEV | 0.0198* | (0.0112) | 0.0167 | (0.0118) | 0.0234** |
| AGE | -0.0031** | (0.0016) | -0.0028* | (0.0017) | -0.0035** |
| Observations | 61,152 | | 61,152 | | 53,067 |
| R-squared | 0.1897 | | 0.2134 | | 0.1934 |
| F-statistic | 178.45*** | | 201.23*** | | 184.67*** |

*Note: *, **, *** denote significance at 10%, 5%, and 1% levels respectively. All specifications include firm and time fixed effects with Driscoll-Kraay robust standard errors.

The alternative herding measure (Column 1) employs different aggregation methodology based on Return Dispersion Around Market Mean (RAMM) approaches, yet produces coefficient estimates (0.0167) that remain statistically significant and economically meaningful. This finding suggests that results are not sensitive to specific herding measurement techniques whilst maintaining theoretical consistency across methodological variations.

Alternative volatility specifications (Column 2) utilise exponential weighted moving average (EWMA) approaches rather than GARCH-based conditional volatility measures. The coefficient estimate of 0.0203 demonstrates remarkable similarity to baseline specifications, indicating that herding-volatility relationships persist across different volatility measurement methodologies.

The non-financial sample analysis (Column 3) addresses potential concerns regarding distinctive regulatory frameworks and business model characteristics within financial sector firms. The coefficient estimate of 0.0195 closely matches baseline specifications whilst achieving enhanced statistical significance, suggesting that herding-volatility relationships characterise both financial and non-financial firms within Vietnamese equity markets.

Large firm subsample analysis (Column 4) examines whether herding effects concentrate among smaller capitalisation firms or extend across the full size distribution. The coefficient estimate of 0.0134 indicates that herding behaviour influences volatility even among larger firms, although with reduced magnitude compared to full sample estimates. This finding suggests that firm size moderates herding effects whilst not eliminating the fundamental relationship entirely.

Crisis period analysis (Column 5) focuses upon 2007-2009 observations to examine whether herding-volatility relationships intensify during periods of market stress. The coefficient estimate of 0.0298 substantially exceeds baseline specifications, indicating that herding behaviour exerts enhanced influence upon volatility transmission during crisis periods when information processing becomes more challenging and emotional factors dominate rational analysis.

Additional robustness testing examines temporal stability through rolling window estimation and structural break analysis. The relationship remains statistically significant across different time periods whilst exhibiting some coefficient variation that correlates with market volatility cycles and regulatory changes within Vietnamese financial markets.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

5.1. Discussion of Findings

The empirical analysis provides compelling evidence supporting the central hypothesis that herding behaviour significantly influences volatility transmission mechanisms within Vietnamese equity markets. The consistent positive relationship between lagged herding measures and current volatility levels, robust across multiple econometric specifications and sample configurations, demonstrates that collective investor behaviour generates substantial impacts upon market risk characteristics beyond traditional fundamental and technical factors.

The coefficient magnitude of approximately 0.019-0.025 across main specifications indicates economically meaningful effects where one standard deviation increases in herding behaviour associate with 3-4% increases in conditional volatility relative to sample means. These effect sizes compare favourably with existing international evidence whilst reflecting Vietnamese market characteristics including retail investor predominance, limited institutional arbitrage mechanisms, and evolving regulatory frameworks that potentially amplify behavioural effects.

The finding that herding behaviour's impact intensifies during crisis periods (coefficient increasing to 0.0298) provides valuable insights into volatility transmission mechanisms during market stress. This result aligns with theoretical predictions that informational processing constraints and emotional factors become more pronounced during uncertain periods, leading to enhanced reliance upon social information sources and collective behaviour patterns. The crisis period amplification suggests that herding behaviour represents a crucial transmission channel through which market stress propagates across individual securities and broader market segments.

The asymmetric effects across firm size classifications revealed through subsample analysis illuminate important heterogeneity in herding susceptibility. Smaller capitalisation firms demonstrate stronger herding effects compared to larger counterparts, consistent with theoretical predictions regarding information asymmetries, analyst coverage limitations, and liquidity constraints that characterise smaller firms. However, the persistence of significant herding effects even among larger firms suggests that behavioural factors influence market dynamics across the complete size spectrum rather than concentrating exclusively among informationally disadvantaged securities.

Sectoral analysis reveals distinctive patterns where technology and financial services firms exhibit enhanced vulnerability to herding-induced volatility compared to traditional manufacturing industries. These findings align with theoretical frameworks emphasising information processing complexities and valuation uncertainties that characterise growth-oriented and knowledge-intensive sectors. The sectoral heterogeneity suggests that portfolio managers and risk assessment professionals should incorporate sector-specific behavioural factors when evaluating Vietnamese equity market exposures.

The robust negative relationship between firm size and volatility provides additional validation of market microstructure theories whilst highlighting Vietnamese market characteristics. The coefficient magnitude of approximately -0.017 indicates substantial economic significance where doubling firm size associates with meaningful volatility reductions. This relationship suggests that size-based investment strategies may provide effective risk management tools within Vietnamese equity markets, particularly during periods of heightened herding behaviour.

Trading intensity's strong positive correlation with volatility (coefficients ranging 0.32-0.38) confirms that liquidity and information processing activities generate substantial price fluctuation impacts. The relationship magnitude suggests that periods of intense trading activity coincide with enhanced uncertainty and information incorporation processes that amplify volatility transmission mechanisms. This finding possesses important implications for execution strategies and market timing decisions within Vietnamese equity markets.

The temporal persistence of herding effects, demonstrated through lagged variable specifications and dynamic panel data approaches, indicates that collective behaviour patterns exhibit momentum characteristics that extend beyond immediate time periods. This persistence suggests that herding behaviour creates feedback loops where current collective actions influence subsequent investor decisions, potentially generating sustained deviations from fundamental value relationships.

5.2. Conclusion, Implications, and Limitations

This study contributes substantially to the behavioural finance literature by providing comprehensive empirical evidence regarding herding behaviour's impact upon volatility transmission within an emerging market context. The findings advance theoretical understanding of collective investor behaviour whilst offering practical insights for investment professionals, risk managers, and regulatory authorities operating within developing financial market environments.

The research demonstrates that herding behaviour represents a significant determinant of volatility patterns within Vietnamese equity markets, with effects that persist across different econometric methodologies, sample configurations, and temporal periods. The relationship exhibits theoretically consistent patterns where herding intensity correlates positively with market stress levels, negatively with firm size and age, and varies systematically across sectoral classifications reflecting information processing complexities and institutional characteristics.

5.3. Theoretical Implications

The findings provide empirical support for behavioural finance theories emphasising collective investor behaviour's role in market dynamics whilst challenging traditional efficient market assumptions. The evidence suggests that herding behaviour operates through multiple channels including informational cascades, reputation-based strategies, and emotional contagion effects that generate persistent deviations from fundamental value relationships.

The asymmetric effects across firm characteristics and market conditions illuminate important heterogeneity in behavioural factor influences that theoretical models should incorporate. The temporal persistence of herding

effects suggests that collective behaviour creates momentum patterns requiring dynamic rather than static theoretical frameworks to capture adequately.

The crisis period amplification provides valuable insights into market stress transmission mechanisms, suggesting that behavioural factors become more prominent when traditional information processing capabilities face constraints. These findings contribute to understanding financial contagion processes and systemic risk propagation patterns within emerging market contexts.

5.4. Practical Implications

Portfolio management strategies should incorporate herding behaviour measures as complementary risk factors alongside traditional fundamental and technical indicators. The sector-specific and size-based heterogeneity suggests that behavioural factor loadings should vary across different asset categories and market segments when constructing optimal portfolios.

Risk management frameworks require enhanced attention to collective behaviour patterns, particularly during periods of market stress where herding effects intensify substantially. The findings suggest that traditional volatility models may underestimate risk during periods of heightened herding behaviour, necessitating behavioural factor adjustments in risk assessment procedures.

Market timing strategies may benefit from herding behaviour indicators that provide early warning signals regarding volatility regime changes. The lagged relationship between herding measures and volatility suggests that collective behaviour patterns possess predictive content for subsequent market risk characteristics.

5.5. Policy Implications

Regulatory authorities should consider herding behaviour impacts when designing market stability policies and intervention strategies. The evidence suggests that collective investor behaviour can amplify volatility transmission and potentially threaten financial stability during crisis periods, warranting proactive regulatory responses.

Investor education programmes focusing upon behavioural biases and collective decision-making processes may help mitigate excessive herding behaviour whilst promoting more efficient price discovery mechanisms. The findings suggest particular attention to retail investor education given their predominance within Vietnamese equity markets.

Market structure reforms addressing information dissemination, analyst coverage, and institutional investor participation may reduce herding behaviour intensity whilst improving overall market efficiency. The size-based asymmetries suggest that enhanced support for smaller firm information production could generate broader market stability benefits.

5.6. Research Limitations and Future Directions

Several limitations constrain the generalisability and interpretation of study findings. The analysis focuses exclusively upon Vietnamese equity markets, limiting direct applicability to other emerging market contexts with different institutional characteristics and investor compositions. Future research should examine herding-volatility relationships across multiple emerging market jurisdictions to assess generalisability and identify common behavioural patterns.

The herding behaviour measurement approach, whilst established within existing literature, represents only one methodological framework for capturing collective investor behaviour. Alternative measurement strategies incorporating social media sentiment, fund flow patterns, or network analysis approaches may provide additional insights into behavioural transmission mechanisms.

The study period concludes in 2017, potentially missing important developments in Vietnamese financial markets including increased foreign institutional participation, regulatory modernisation, and technological advancement in trading platforms. Extended analysis incorporating more recent data would enhance understanding of temporal evolution in herding behaviour patterns.

Future research directions should examine herding behaviour's interaction with other behavioural factors including momentum effects, contrarian strategies, and attention-driven trading patterns. The investigation of herding behaviour within specific market segments such as initial public offerings, dividend announcements, or earnings surprises may provide additional insights into behavioural finance mechanisms.

Cross-country comparative analysis examining herding behaviour differences across emerging markets with varying institutional development levels, regulatory frameworks, and cultural characteristics would contribute valuable insights into behavioural factor determinants and policy implications. Additionally, investigation of herding behaviour's impact upon market efficiency measures and price discovery processes represents important areas for continued research development.

Acknowledgments:

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. Hoang Vu Hiep for his invaluable guidance and inspiration throughout this research. His expertise, insights, and unwavering support have been instrumental in shaping the direction and quality of this study. I am deeply appreciative of his generosity in sharing his time, knowledge, and network, which have greatly contributed to the success of this research. His mentorship and commitment to academic excellence have not only enriched the quality of this work but have also had a profound impact on my personal and professional growth.

References

- Allen, F., & Gale, D. (2000). Financial contagion. *Journal of Political Economy*, 108(1), 1–33. <https://doi.org/10.1086/262109>
- Arellano, M., & Bover, O. (1995). Another look at the instrumental variable estimation of error-components models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 68(1), 29–51. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076\(94\)01642-D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076(94)01642-D)

- Banerjee, A. V. (1992). A simple model of herd behavior. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 107(3), 797–817. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2118364>
- Barberis, N., & Thaler, R. (2003). A survey of behavioral finance. In G. M. Constantinides, M. Harris, & R. Stulz (Eds.), *Handbook of the economics of finance* (Vol. 1, pp. 1053–1128). Elsevier. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1574-0102\(03\)01027-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1574-0102(03)01027-6)
- Bekaert, G., & Harvey, C. R. (2002). Research in emerging markets finance: Looking to the future. *Emerging Markets Review*, 3(4), 429–448. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1566-0141\(02\)00045-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1566-0141(02)00045-0)
- Bikhchandani, S., Hirshleifer, D., & Welch, I. (1992). A theory of fads, fashion, custom, and cultural change as informational cascades. *Journal of Political Economy*, 100(5), 992–1026. <https://doi.org/10.1086/261849>
- Bikhchandani, S., & Sharma, S. (2001). Herd behavior in financial markets. *IMF Staff Papers*, 47(3), 279–310. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3867650>
- Bollerslev, T. (1986). Generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity. *Journal of Econometrics*, 31(3), 307–327. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076\(86\)90063-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076(86)90063-1)
- Caparrelli, F., D’Arcangelis, A. M., & Cassuto, A. (2004). Herding in the Italian stock market: A case of behavioral finance. *Journal of Behavioral Finance*, 5(4), 222–230. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15427579jpfm0504_5
- Chang, E. C., Cheng, J. W., & Khorana, A. (2000). An examination of herd behavior in equity markets: An international perspective. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 24(10), 1651–1679. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4266\(99\)00096-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4266(99)00096-5)
- Chiang, T. C., & Zheng, D. (2010). An empirical analysis of herd behavior in global stock markets. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 34(8), 1911–1921. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2009.12.014>
- Christie, W. G., & Huang, R. D. (1995). Following the pied piper: Do individual returns herd around the market? *Financial Analysts Journal*, 51(4), 31–37. <https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v51.n4.1918>
- Daniel, K., Hirshleifer, D., & Subrahmanyam, A. (2002). Investor psychology in capital markets: Evidence and policy implications. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 49(1), 139–209. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3932\(01\)00091-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3932(01)00091-5)
- Demirer, R., & Kutan, A. M. (2006). Does herding behavior exist in Chinese stock markets? *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 16(2), 123–142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intfin.2005.01.002>
- Ellison, G., & Fudenberg, D. (1993). Rules of thumb for social learning. *Journal of Political Economy*, 101(4), 612–643. <https://doi.org/10.1086/261890>
- Engle, R. F. (1982). Autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity with estimates of the variance of United Kingdom inflation. *Econometrica*, 50(4), 987–1007. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1912773>
- Engle, R. F. (2002). Dynamic conditional correlation: A simple class of multivariate generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity models. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 20(3), 339–350. <https://doi.org/10.1198/073500102288618487>
- Hirshleifer, D., & Teoh, S. H. (2003). Herd behaviour and cascading in capital markets: A review and synthesis. *European Financial Management*, 9(1), 25–66. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-036X.00207>
- Hwang, S., & Salmon, M. (2004). Market stress and herding. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 11(4), 585–616. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jempfin.2004.04.003>
- Im, K. S., Pesaran, M. H., & Shin, Y. (2003). Testing for unit roots in heterogeneous panels. *Journal of Econometrics*, 115(1), 53–74. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4076\(03\)00092-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4076(03)00092-7)
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263–291. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1914185>
- Kumar, A., & Lee, C. M. (2006). Retail investor sentiment and return comovements. *The Journal of Finance*, 61(5), 2451–2486. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.2006.01063.x>
- Kyle, A. S. (1985). Continuous auctions and insider trading. *Econometrica*, 53(6), 1315–1335. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1913210>
- Levin, A., Lin, C. F., & Chu, C. S. J. (2002). Unit root tests in panel data: Asymptotic and finite-sample properties. *Journal of Econometrics*, 108(1), 1–24. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4076\(01\)00098-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4076(01)00098-7)
- Nguyen, T. H., Nguyen, D. T., & Le, H. V. (2017). Herding behavior in emerging stock markets: Evidence from Vietnam. *Asian Academy of Management Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 13(1), 15–42. <https://doi.org/10.21315/aamjaf2017.13.1.2>
- O’Hara, M. (1995). *Market microstructure theory*. Blackwell Publishers. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444305432>
- Pesaran, M. H. (2004). General diagnostic tests for cross section dependence in panels. *Journal of Econometrics*, 120(1), 1–23. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4076\(03\)00206-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4076(03)00206-9)
- Philippas, D., Economou, F., Babalos, V., & Kostakis, A. (2013). Herding behavior in REITs: Novel tests and the role of financial crisis. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 29, 166–174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2013.01.004>
- Scharfstein, D. S., & Stein, J. C. (1990). Herd behavior and investment. *The American Economic Review*, 80(3), 465–479. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2006678>
- Shefrin, H. (2000). *Beyond greed and fear: Understanding behavioral finance and the psychology of investing*. Harvard Business School Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/0195161211.001.0001>
- Shiller, R. J. (2003). From efficient markets theory to behavioral finance. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 17(1), 83–104. <https://doi.org/10.1257/089533003321164967>
- Tan, L., Chiang, T. C., Mason, J. R., & Nelling, E. (2008). Herding behavior in Chinese stock markets: An examination of A and B shares. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 16(1–2), 61–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2007.04.004>
- Vo, X. V., & Phan, D. B. A. (2017). Further evidence on the herd behavior in Vietnam stock market. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance*, 13, 33–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbef.2017.02.003>