



Assessment and Control of a Corporation's Financial Condition: Analytical Framework and Practical Application

 Mikayel Gyulasaryan¹

 Ashot Matevosyan²

 Mane Matevosyan³

 Ani Grigoryan⁴ 

¹Parvanyan consulting LLC, Azatutyun str. 24/17, Yerevan, Armenia.

^{2,3,4}Armenian State University of Economics, Str. Nalbandzyan 128, Yerevan, Armenia.

Email: mikayelgyulasaryan@gmail.com

Email: matevosyan.ashot@asue.am

Email: matevosyan.mane@asue.am

Email: ani.grigoryan@asue.am

() Corresponding Author)

Abstract

This study presents a comprehensive assessment of corporate financial condition, integrating both absolute and relative evaluation methods to analyze stability, solvency, liquidity, business activity, and profitability. Financial stability is a multidimensional concept, reflecting the efficiency of resource allocation, the capacity to meet obligations, and the resilience of a corporation to external and internal shocks. Absolute assessment categorizes financial condition into four types: absolute stability, normal stability, pre-critical instability, and critical (crisis) condition based on the relationship between inventories, current assets, and short-term financing. Relative assessment employs key financial ratios, including equity-to-assets, debt-to-equity, current and quick ratios, turnover metrics, and profitability indicators (ROE, ROA, ROS), providing a nuanced and dynamic understanding of corporate performance. The study incorporates a practical application using a hypothetical manufacturing firm to illustrate the calculation and interpretation of these indicators. Findings demonstrate that combining absolute and relative measures enables early detection of financial stress, optimization of working capital, and improved decision-making for investors and management. Furthermore, the literature review highlights the importance of governance quality, institutional oversight, and industry-specific considerations in shaping financial stability, drawing on foundational and contemporary studies including Altman (1968), Beaver (1966), Damodaran (2010), and Penman (2013). The results underscore the critical role of proactive financial monitoring, efficient capital structure management, and operational efficiency in sustaining long-term corporate growth and minimizing the risk of insolvency. Practical recommendations include strengthening governance mechanisms, streamlining liquidity management, optimizing the equity-debt balance, and implementing continuous ratio monitoring to inform strategic planning. Overall, this research contributes to the theoretical and practical understanding of corporate financial evaluation by offering an integrated, multidimensional framework that is applicable across industries and organizational contexts. By bridging quantitative analysis, literature insights, and practical application, the study provides guidance for managers, investors, and policymakers seeking to enhance financial stability, operational efficiency, and sustainable growth in a complex and dynamic economic environment.

Keywords: Business activity, Corporate financial condition, Financial ratios, Financial stability, Governance, Operational efficiency, Profitability, Risk management, Solvency, Liquidity.

1. Introduction

Financial stability is a fundamental component in ensuring not only the sustainable development of an individual corporation but also the resilience and growth of the wider economy. At its core, financial stability reflects the efficient formation, allocation, and use of financial resources, ensuring that corporations can meet their operational obligations while simultaneously pursuing strategic growth objectives. When a corporation becomes insolvent, it signals a breakdown in these processes, demonstrating the severe consequences of financial mismanagement, inadequate liquidity planning, or structural inefficiencies. Insolvency can lead to a cascade of negative effects, including halted operations, loss of investor confidence, and broader repercussions for industry sectors and local economies. Therefore, understanding a corporation's financial condition is indispensable for

management, investors, creditors, and regulatory authorities alike, as it enables informed decision-making regarding operational efficiency, risk management, capital allocation, and long-term strategic planning (Ashot V. Matevosyan, et al., 2025).

In contemporary economic environments characterized by rapid technological change, globalization, and market volatility, corporations face an increasingly complex set of challenges. Fluctuations in global commodity and capital markets, shifting exchange rates, interest rate volatility, and sudden regulatory changes introduce additional layers of financial uncertainty. Moreover, operational inefficiencies, misaligned capital structures, and inadequate working capital management can further exacerbate vulnerability, potentially transforming minor financial strains into systemic crises. In this context, robust assessment methodologies that provide both absolute and relative measures of financial stability are essential.

They not only enable corporations to maintain liquidity and solvency under normal conditions but also allow for proactive identification of potential vulnerabilities before they escalate into crises.

Traditional financial analysis categorizes corporate stability into four distinct types:

1. Absolute financial stability, which occurs when the corporation's inventories, expenditures, and current obligations are fully covered by its current assets and available financing. In this scenario, the corporation has a comfortable financial buffer, demonstrating sufficient liquidity and solvency to withstand unexpected economic shocks. Absolute stability indicates that the firm can pursue investment opportunities without jeopardizing its operational integrity, thereby fostering sustainable growth.
2. Normal financial stability, which is characterized by a balance between a corporation's obligations and its financial resources, ensuring that the enterprise can meet its liabilities within the established deadlines. This category reflects a healthy corporate environment where operational cash flows, bank loans, and equity are adequately aligned to support day-to-day functioning. Corporations operating at normal stability may not have excess liquidity, but they maintain sufficient reserves to absorb minor financial fluctuations and continue strategic operations without significant disruptions.
3. Unstable or pre-critical financial condition, wherein there is a temporary imbalance in the corporation's ability to meet obligations. While the firm may experience short-term solvency issues or temporary liquidity gaps, recovery is still possible through strategic adjustments, such as optimizing working capital, securing additional financing, or reallocating resources. This category highlights the importance of financial monitoring systems, early warning indicators, and proactive management practices. Firms in this stage require careful attention to operational efficiency and cash flow management to prevent deterioration into critical conditions.
4. Critical or crisis financial condition, which arises when the corporation is on the brink of insolvency, and its financial obligations exceed available resources. In such cases, current assets, cash reserves, and receivables are insufficient to cover liabilities, forcing delays in payments to employees, suppliers, creditors, and tax authorities. This situation signals a systemic failure in resource allocation and financial management. Corporations in a critical state must implement immediate measures, including restructuring liabilities, optimizing capital allocation, reducing non-essential expenses, and improving cash flow management, to avoid bankruptcy or operational collapse.

To effectively evaluate these categories, the present study employs a comprehensive quantitative framework that integrates absolute assessments with a range of financial ratios, including solvency indicators, liquidity measures, business activity metrics, and profitability ratios. For example, solvency ratios such as equity-to-assets and debt-to-equity provide insight into the balance between owned and borrowed capital, while liquidity ratios like the current ratio and cash-to-current liabilities ratio highlight the firm's capacity to meet short-term obligations. Business activity indicators, such as inventory turnover and asset turnover, reveal the efficiency with which resources are utilized, whereas profitability ratios, including ROE, ROA, and ROS, measure the effectiveness of capital deployment in generating returns.

By combining these quantitative measures with practical monitoring systems, corporations can detect early warning signs, assess operational efficiency, and make informed strategic decisions. For instance, a firm with high absolute stability but declining relative liquidity ratios may need to improve its working capital management to maintain long-term resilience. Conversely, firms exhibiting normal stability can leverage surplus liquidity to invest in growth opportunities or reduce debt, thereby enhancing both operational performance and financial robustness.

Furthermore, the study emphasizes that financial stability is not a static condition but rather a dynamic process, influenced by both internal management practices and external macroeconomic conditions. Changes in market demand, global economic fluctuations, regulatory reforms, and technological advancements can impact cash flows, asset valuation, and capital requirements. Consequently, continuous monitoring, scenario analysis, and adaptive planning are essential for maintaining stability over time. Corporations that implement such proactive measures are better positioned to attract investment, maintain stakeholder confidence, and sustain competitive advantage.

In conclusion, financial stability serves as a cornerstone for corporate sustainability and economic resilience. By categorizing stability into absolute, normal, unstable, and critical states, and by employing a multidimensional framework of quantitative indicators, corporations can systematically evaluate their financial condition. Such an approach not only safeguards against insolvency and operational disruptions but also enables strategic growth, efficient resource utilization, and long-term value creation. Understanding and actively managing financial stability is therefore essential for corporate executives, investors, and policymakers seeking to foster robust, adaptable, and sustainable economic entities in increasingly complex and uncertain markets.

2. Literature Review

The assessment of corporate financial condition has been extensively studied in academic literature, with scholars emphasizing the importance of both absolute and relative indicators to evaluate stability, solvency, liquidity, and profitability. Early works, such as Altman (1968), introduced the Z-score model for predicting bankruptcy, demonstrating that a combination of financial ratios could reliably forecast the probability of corporate

failure. Altman's model emphasized key areas, including liquidity, leverage, and profitability, laying the foundation for modern corporate financial diagnostics.

Horrigan (1965) highlighted the predictive power of solvency and liquidity ratios, showing that firms with low liquidity or excessive debt are more likely to experience financial distress. Subsequent research by Deakin (1972) further elaborated on the integration of multiple financial indicators to assess risk, stressing that a multidimensional approach is critical for accurately capturing a firm's financial health.

Contemporary studies have expanded on these foundational models, incorporating dynamic and comparative methods to evaluate corporate performance over time and across industries. Damodaran (2010) and Brigham & Ehrhardt (2016) argue that relative ratios, including debt-to-equity, current ratio, and return on assets, provide essential insights into capital structure efficiency, operational effectiveness, and managerial performance. These studies suggest that continuous monitoring of financial ratios is crucial not only for assessing present stability but also for forecasting potential vulnerabilities.

Recent empirical research also highlights the role of financial governance, institutional quality, and macroeconomic conditions in shaping corporate financial stability. For example, studies by Myers (2001) and Laeven & Levine (2009) indicate that corporations operating in environments with strong regulatory oversight and effective governance mechanisms tend to exhibit higher resilience to shocks, including market volatility and economic downturns. Similarly, Beaver (1966) demonstrated that financial statement analysis could serve as an early warning system, identifying vulnerabilities before they escalate into critical crises.

Additionally, the literature emphasizes the interplay between operational efficiency and financial health. Ratios reflecting business activity, such as asset turnover and receivables turnover, are found to correlate strongly with profitability and liquidity outcomes. Penman (2013) notes that firms maintaining optimal turnover rates and efficient working capital management can sustain financial stability even under external pressures.

In his research Baboyan, K. examines strategies for managing financial risks and predicting insolvency in commercial organizations, emphasizing advanced methods such as cluster analysis to enhance financial control and support sustainable economic growth amid ongoing global crises (Baboyan, K., 2022).

Effective cash flow management depends on strategies that may include real-time tracking of deliveries, personalized notifications, scheduled dispatching, augmented reality integration, the use of smart lockers, and geolocation technologies.

Then Baboyan in his another research develops and applies a new training model to forecast key financial indicators and monitor the solvency of Armenian commercial organizations, demonstrating that the approach enhances strategic financial management and supports effective solvency control (Baboyan, K., 2022).

Finally, comparative studies underscore the need for context-specific application of financial indicators. What may constitute a critical ratio threshold for a manufacturing firm may differ significantly from service-oriented businesses. Scholars such as Gibson (2013) and Fraser & Ormiston (2016) advocate for adaptive frameworks that account for industry characteristics, market conditions, and firm-specific factors, reinforcing the argument that financial stability assessment is both quantitative and context-sensitive.

In conclusion, the literature provides a robust foundation for the combined use of absolute financial condition assessment and ratio analysis. It highlights the importance of a multidimensional, dynamic, and contextual approach to evaluating corporate financial health, guiding practitioners and researchers in designing tools and strategies for effective financial management.

3. Methodology

The study applies both absolute and relative assessment methods. Absolute financial stability categorizes corporations based on the relationship between inventories (I), current assets (CA), and bank loans (L):

- Absolute stability: $I < CA + L$
- Normal stability: $I = CA + L$
- Unstable (pre-critical): $I = CA + L + FSR$
- Critical condition: $I > CA + L$

FSR represents financial stabilization resources, including free cash, bank loans, and equity injections.

Relative assessment relies on ratios measuring financial stability, solvency, liquidity, business activity, and profitability (Matevosyan, A., et. al., 2025).

3.1. Financial Stability Ratios

- $FS1 = \text{Assets} / \text{Equity}$,
- $FS2 = \text{Total Liabilities} / \text{Equity}$
- $FS3 = \text{Current Assets} / (\text{Current Assets} - \text{Current Liabilities})$
- $FS4 = \text{Equity} / (\text{Current Assets} - \text{Current Liabilities})$
- $FS5 = \text{Assets} / (\text{Non-current liabilities} + \text{Equity})$,
- $FS6 = (\text{Current Assets} - \text{Current Liabilities}) / \text{Inventories}$

3.2. Solvency Ratios

- $S1 = \text{Total liabilities} / \text{Total assets}$
- $S2 = \text{Total liabilities} / \text{Equity}$
- $S3 = \text{Long-term liabilities} / (\text{Long-term liabilities} + \text{Equity})$
- $S4 = \text{Net cash flows} / \text{Total liabilities}$

3.3. Liquidity Ratios

- $L1 = \text{Cash} / \text{Current liabilities}$
- $L2 = \text{Current assets} / (\text{Current liabilities} + \text{Long-term debt})$

- $L3 = \text{Current assets} / \text{Current liabilities}$

3.4. Business Activity Ratios

- $BA1 = \text{Net sales revenue} / \text{Total assets}$
- $BA2 = \text{Net sales revenue} / \text{Equity}$
- $BA3 = \text{Net sales revenue} / \text{Current assets}$
- $BA4 = \text{Net sales revenue} / \text{Accounts receivable}$
- $BA5 = \text{Net sales revenue} / \text{Finished goods and work in progress}$
- $BA6 = \text{Net sales revenue} / \text{Inventories}$

3.5. Profitability Ratios

- $ROE = \text{Net profit} \times 100 / \text{Equity}$
- $ROA = \text{Net profit} \times 100 / \text{Assets}$
- $ROS = \text{Net profit} \times 100 / \text{Net sales revenue}$

Table 1. Consider ABC Corporation, a manufacturing firm with the following financial data (in \$ million).

Indicator	Value
Current assets (CA)	120
Inventories (I)	50
Bank loans (L)	40
Equity (E)	80
Total liabilities (TL)	60
Net sales revenue	200
Net profit	30
Accounts receivable	40
Finished goods	20

Absolute financial assessment:

$$I + \text{Expenditures} = 50 < CA + L = 120 + 40 = 160 \Rightarrow \text{Absolute stability} \quad (1)$$

3.6. Relative Assessment

- $FS1 = 80 / 180 \approx 0.44$ (Equity to total assets)
- $FS2 = 80 / 60 \approx 1.33$ (Equity to total liabilities)
- $S1 = 60 / 180 \approx 0.33$ (Debt proportion)
- $ROE = 30 \times 100 / 80 = 37.5\%$
- $ROA = 30 \times 100 / 180 \approx 16.7\%$
- $ROS = 30 \times 100 / 200 = 15\%$

Interpretation: ABC Corporation demonstrates strong absolute and relative financial stability, with adequate solvency, liquidity, and profitability, suggesting a robust financial position and low bankruptcy risk.

4. Discussion

The analysis shows that absolute stability provides a straightforward assessment of a firm's capacity to meet obligations. However, relative ratios offer deeper insights into the structure of assets, liabilities, and equity, allowing comparisons across firms and over time. Combining absolute and relative methods provides a comprehensive financial diagnosis, critical for identifying early warning signs of potential instability.

The case of ABC Corporation highlights the importance of monitoring inventory management, receivables turnover, and profitability margins. Even firms with high absolute stability may face challenges if relative indicators such as liquidity ratios fall below critical thresholds.

Empirical evidence indicates that corporations implementing proactive monitoring of these ratios experience fewer financial disruptions, better capital utilization, and increased investor confidence. Early intervention strategies may include optimizing the capital structure, reducing non-productive inventory, and improving collection of receivables.

5. Recommendations

1. Accelerate Governance and Financial Oversight – Ensure transparency and timely reporting to strengthen investor confidence.
2. Enhance Liquidity Management – Maintain optimal cash reserves and short-term investments to cover unexpected obligations.
3. Optimize Capital Structure – Balance debt and equity to minimize financial risk while maximizing growth potential.
4. Implement Continuous Ratio Monitoring – Establish regular reporting cycles for solvency, liquidity, and profitability ratios.
5. Improve Operational Efficiency – Reduce inventory and receivables turnover times to free up working capital.

6. Conclusion

Assessing a corporation's financial condition is a multifaceted and complex process that requires a holistic approach, integrating both absolute and relative measures across several dimensions, including financial stability, solvency, liquidity, business activity, and profitability. Financial stability provides an overarching view of a corporation's capacity to maintain operational continuity under normal and adverse conditions, while solvency

measures the firm's ability to meet long-term obligations. Liquidity assessment focuses on short-term obligations and the availability of cash or easily convertible assets, whereas business activity indicators evaluate operational efficiency and the effectiveness of resource utilization. Profitability ratios, on the other hand, measure the firm's success in generating returns on investments and capital employed, providing critical insight into overall performance and value creation for stakeholders. By integrating these dimensions into a single analytical framework, managers, investors, and regulatory authorities gain a more comprehensive understanding of a corporation's financial health and the potential risks it may face in dynamic economic conditions.

A key element of this assessment involves combining quantitative ratio analysis with practical, real-case evaluations. Quantitative ratios such as equity-to-assets, debt-to-equity, current ratio, quick ratio, asset turnover, inventory turnover, ROE, ROA, and ROS allow for standardized measurement, cross-period comparison, and benchmarking against industry norms. However, ratios alone cannot capture the full context of a corporation's operations. Incorporating real-case analysis, including cash flow examination, capital structure review, and scenario-based stress testing, provides essential insights into how financial metrics translate into operational reality. For instance, a firm may demonstrate strong profitability ratios yet face liquidity challenges if its receivables collection cycle is prolonged. Similarly, a company may appear solvent on paper but encounter critical cash shortages during periods of market volatility, highlighting the necessity of combining numerical analysis with practical evaluation to form an accurate and actionable financial picture.

The practical application of this holistic approach is demonstrated through a hypothetical manufacturing firm, designed to illustrate how absolute and relative measures interact in real-world decision-making. Absolute assessment categorizes the firm's financial condition into four types: absolute stability, normal stability, unstable (pre-critical) condition, and critical (crisis) condition based on the alignment of current assets, inventories, and short-term financing with operational liabilities. In parallel, relative assessment uses financial ratios to provide detailed insight into specific aspects of financial performance, such as liquidity sufficiency, capital structure adequacy, asset utilization efficiency, and profitability. By applying this dual-layered evaluation, management can detect early signs of financial stress, such as declining liquidity ratios or increasing debt burden, and implement corrective measures before these issues escalate into solvency crises.

A significant advantage of this combined approach is its ability to guide strategic decision-making and optimize resource allocation. For example, analyzing inventory turnover in conjunction with liquidity ratios can help management determine whether excess stock is tying up cash that could be better used for debt repayment or investment in growth opportunities. Similarly, assessing ROE alongside capital structure ratios allows firms to identify whether high returns are sustainable or driven by excessive leverage, thereby informing decisions regarding dividend policy, reinvestment, or capital restructuring. The integration of these analyses provides a more nuanced understanding of financial health, enabling managers to align operational strategies with financial realities and long-term corporate objectives.

The practical example further demonstrates that robust financial monitoring not only safeguards against insolvency but also enhances operational efficiency and stakeholder confidence. Stakeholders, including investors, creditors, and employees, increasingly demand transparency, reliability, and resilience in corporate financial management. Firms that proactively monitor financial indicators, identify potential vulnerabilities, and implement recommended corrective strategies are better positioned to maintain trust and confidence in volatile market environments. Additionally, effective monitoring contributes to regulatory compliance, risk mitigation, and alignment with corporate governance standards, all of which are essential for sustaining long-term growth and avoiding reputational damage.

By implementing the recommended strategies derived from a holistic financial evaluation, corporations can strengthen resilience, improve profitability, and achieve sustainable economic development. Measures such as optimizing working capital, restructuring debt, enhancing operational efficiency, and monitoring key financial ratios enable firms to respond effectively to market fluctuations, unexpected shocks, and evolving competitive pressures. Furthermore, integrating scenario analysis and stress testing into routine financial planning ensures that corporations remain agile, adaptable, and capable of withstanding unforeseen crises. For instance, simulating the impact of sudden interest rate increases or a decline in product demand on liquidity and solvency ratios allows management to preemptively adjust operations, renegotiate credit terms, or reallocate resources to maintain financial stability.

Finally, this approach underscores the strategic value of continuous financial evaluation in a complex business environment. Assessing a corporation's financial condition is not a one-time exercise but a continuous process that informs daily operational decisions, medium-term strategic planning, and long-term investment strategies. It promotes a culture of data-driven decision-making, proactive risk management, and disciplined resource allocation. Corporations that adopt such practices are better equipped to achieve sustained growth, maintain investor confidence, and contribute positively to broader economic development. In an era of globalization, technological disruption, and increasing market volatility, the ability to monitor, interpret, and act upon comprehensive financial information becomes not merely an advantage but a critical determinant of corporate survival and success.

In conclusion, assessing a corporation's financial condition through an integrated framework of absolute and relative measures enables firms to maintain stability, optimize operations, and pursue sustainable growth. Combining ratio analysis with practical, scenario-based evaluation ensures that management has a clear, actionable understanding of financial strengths, vulnerabilities, and opportunities. The practical application illustrates that such an approach fosters resilience, profitability, and stakeholder confidence, ultimately supporting the long-term economic viability of the corporation in a rapidly evolving global business environment.

References

- Altman, E. I. (1968). Financial ratios, discriminant analysis and the prediction of corporate bankruptcy. *The Journal of Finance*, 23(4), 589–609. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2978933>
- Matevosyan, A. V., Grigoryan, A. Z., Matevosyan, M. H., Nersisyan, A. V., & Baghdasaryan, V. G. (2025). Proposed approach to capital structure management related to AI: Evidence from automobile manufacturing companies. *Journal of Trends and Challenges in Artificial Intelligence*, 3(1), 39–46. <https://doi.org/10.61552/JAI.2026.01.004>

- Baboyan, K. (2022a). Improvement-based approach for control over solvency of commercial organizations in the context of prediction of preferred capital structure. *Journal of Technical Science Research (JTSR)*, 29(1), 65–82. <https://doi.org/10.34069/jtsr.2022.29.01.06>
- Baboyan, K. (2022b). New solutions for assessing insolvency risk in commercial organizations. *Amazonia Investiga*, 11(57), 189–197. <https://doi.org/10.34069/ai/2022.57.08.20>
- Beaver, W. H. (1966). Financial ratios as predictors of failure. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 4(Suppl.), 71–111. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2490171>
- Brigham, E. F., & Ehrhardt, M. C. (2016). *Financial management: Theory & practice* (15th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Damodaran, A. (2010). *Applied corporate finance* (3rd ed.). Wiley.
- Deakin, E. B. (1972). A discriminant analysis of predictors of business failure. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 10(1), 167–179. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2490225>
- Fraser, L. M., & Ormiston, A. (2016). *Understanding financial statements* (11th ed.). Pearson.
- Gibson, C. H. (2013). *Financial reporting and analysis* (13th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Horrigan, J. O. (1965). The determinants of firm failure. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 3(2), 165–176. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2490073>
- Laeven, L., & Levine, R. (2009). Bank governance, regulation and risk taking. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 93(2), 259–275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2008.09.003>
- Matevosyan, A., Israelyan, S., Matevosyan, M., Hovakanyan, L., & Grigoryan, A. (2025). *Corporate finance coursework/methodological guide*. Meknark SP LLC. ISBN 978-9939-0-5217-5
- Myers, S. C. (2001). Capital structure. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 15(2), 81–102. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.15.2.81>
- Penman, S. H. (2013). *Financial statement analysis and security valuation* (5th ed.). McGraw-Hill.